SINGLE BENCH

(1)
(3)

BEFORE THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. /2006(SB).
(Service Matter)

PETITIONER : Santosh Raj, aged about 30 years, S/o Shri Sadaram Raj, R/o. J. P. Vihar Mangla, Bilaspur, P.O. and Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.) (Now posted at Lofandi, PH-15, Tahsil Bilaspur)

R.R. No. 1034/06
Presented by S. K. Verma
dated 25/2/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: 1) State of Chhattisgarh , Through: Secretary Department of Revenue, Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, P.O. & Distt. Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
25/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2) The Collector, Bilaspur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF CERTIORARI & MANDAMUS AND ANY OTHER WRIT/ ORDER/ DIRECTION

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(30)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 958 / 06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6-3-2006 Shri B.R. Ghosh with Smt. Meera Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed this petition against the order dated 15-2-2006 whereby work has been distributed. Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner may be directed to make a representation before the competent authority of the respondents and if such a representation is submitted, the same shall be decided. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. Learned counsel or the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and the petitioner may be allowed to continue. It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No. 744/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

[पीछे देखिये

P/ 10.3.06